

REMARKS

The objection to claim 9 has been cured by an appropriate amendment. Claim 9 now makes it clear that the reflective layer in claim 9 is the same as the reflective layer in claim 8. Claims 1, 8, and 16 have been amended to call for selectively absorbing blue light. It was the Examiner's position in the response to arguments, paragraph 12, that selective absorbing blue light was argued but not recited in the claims. That limitation is now recited in the claims.

Therefore, reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 2, 2004



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100
Houston, TX 77024
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]